



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,485	04/08/2004	Paul C. Coffin	10013036-4 7401	
75	590 12/12/2005	EXAMINER		
	ACKARD COMPANY	CASTRO, ANGEL A		
Intellectual Prop P. O. Box 2724	perty Administration 00	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	O 80527-2400	2653		
			DATE MAILED: 12/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/820,48	35	COFFIN ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Angel A. C		2653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 16-49 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16,18-26,29-31,34-38,41-47 and 49</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) 17,27,28,32,33, 35, 39,40 and 48	is/are objected	I to.					
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	3)		Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE · No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal Pa		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/820,485

Art Unit: 2653

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 16-49 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,778,351. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the limitations set forth in the instant application (i.e. a picker assembly, a plunge assembly operable to travel along a frame; first and second cables adapted to communicate a predetermined set of signals to the plunge assembly) correspond to the claimed subject in the '351 patent set forth in the claims, col. 4, lines 59-65; col. 5, lines 12-17; col. 6, lines 6-14.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/820,485

Art Unit: 2653

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 20-22, 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 20, it is not clear how "the first cable is adapted to communicate an identical predetermined set of signals as the first cable."

Regarding claims 21-22 and 29-30, it is not clear how the frame have a travel path.

Claim Objections

5. Claims 33, 44 and 46 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claim 33, in line 2, "cable" should be changed to --cable.--.

Regarding claim 44, in line 2, "pickere" should be changed to --picker--.

Regarding claim 46, in line 2, ";" should be changed to --.--.

Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2653

7. Claims 16, 24, 31, 38, 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Basham et al (U.S. Pat. 6,434,090).

Regarding claims 16, 24, 31, 38 and 45, Basham et al discloses a media picker assembly (figure 5), comprising:

a plunge assembly 534 operable to travel along a frame to engage a media cartridge; first and second cables 542, 543 each adapted to communicate a predetermined set of signals to the plunge assembly, the first cable operating in a standby mode when the second cable is operating in an active mode (column 8, lines 37-41).

8. Claims 16, 18-19, 23-26, 31, 34, 36-38, 41-47, 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Maejima (U.S. Pat. 6,215,315).

Regarding claims 16, 24, 31, 38, 45 and 49, Maejima discloses a media picker assembly (figures 1-14), comprising:

a plunge assembly 16 operable to travel along a frame to engage a media cartridge; first and second cables 78 or 80 each adapted to communicate a predetermined set of signals to the plunge assembly, the first cable operating in a standby mode when the second cable is operating in an active mode (column 7, lines 14-67).

Regarding claims 18-19, 25-26, 34, 36-37, 41-44, 46, Maejima discloses that the first and second cables are coupled to different or opposite locations or sides of the frame (see figure 1 where the location or sides are the element 4 and 18 on the left).

Regarding claims 23, Maejima discloses that the predetermined set of signals comprising signals for controlling the plunge assembly (see figure 8).

Application/Control Number: 10/820,485 Page 5

Art Unit: 2653

Regarding claim 47, Maejima discloses that the plurality of different signals communicated by the second cable comprising redundant signals of the plurality of signals communicated by the first cable (column 7, lines 39-50).

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 17, 27-28, 32-33, 35, 39-40 and 48 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angel A. Castro whose telephone number is 571-272-7584. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8 AM to 6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William R. Korzuch can be reached on 571-272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/820,485

Art Unit: 2653

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 6

ANGEL CASTRO
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Angel Castro, Ph.D.